

223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-374-2016(O&M)

Date of decision : 26.04.2017

Desh Raj

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajbir Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

CM-714-2016

Allowed, as prayed for.

CWP-374-2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had approached this Court against the order dated 01.01.2016 (Annexure P-11) passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat vide which stay application filed along with the appeal has been dismissed and operation of the impugned order dated 07.12.2015 ordering the recovery against the petitioner has been stayed. However, the petitioner would assail the order in accordance with law and, therefore, no cause of action survives.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner the writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 26, 2017

sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No