

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24867 of 2017
Date of decision:21.11.2017

Surinder Kumar Goel

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present:- Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. In this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondents No.1 and 2 to take appropriate legal action against the violators, i.e., respondents No.3 to 7 on the basis of representations dated 03.08.2013 (Annexure P-6) and 10.09.2013 (Annexure P-9), who are operating in violation of the Policy Guidelines for Downlinking of Television Channels dated 05.12.2011 (Annexure P-2) and Interconnection Regulations/Rules issued by respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submitted that primary challenge is to the letter dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-15) for which remedy lies before the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India

(in short “TRAI”). Accordingly, a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach TRAI, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. However, it shall be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

November 21, 2017
savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No