

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.24864 of 2017.

Date of Decision: November 02, 2017

Walideen @ Leelu and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.R.S.Mamli, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are primarily aggrieved by the order dated 05.09.2017 whereby previous order of *status-quo* dated 30.05.2017 has been vacated and the Gram Panchayat has been permitted to cut the eucalyptus trees from the land in dispute and also to lease out the land as per law.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

In our considered view, the impugned order does not cause any irreparable loss and injury to the alleged proprietors of the village. In case they succeed in the petition under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, as applicable to the State of Haryana, the value of the trees received by the Gram Panchayat can very well be refunded amongst the proprietors proportionately.

The Appellate Authority shall make an endeavour to decide the appeal expeditiously.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

November 02, 2017

mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No