

CWP No. 24838 of 2017

DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 01, 2017

PARAMJIT KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release all the dues accrued to the husband of the petitioner such as Family pension, DCRG, GIS, Leave encashment, Ex-gratia etc with all consequential benefits along-with 18% per annum.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated February 13, 2017 (Annexure P-11) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the

representation (P-11) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by her, to release the same within next 45 days. However, the matter with regard to grant of interest on delayed payment be also considered in view of observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as **“A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others; 1997 (3) SCT 468** and also in view of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 8772 of 2015 titled as **Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & others;** decided on July 11, 2017. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 01, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*