

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**Civil Writ Petition No.24803 of 2017
Date of Decision: November 06, 2017**

Surinder Kaur and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL***

Present: Mr. Navdeep Singh Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer made in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot alternate site for the commercial booths allotted by way of auction as the allotted booths are not commercial as the site in question lacks the basic amenities like wide roads as per policy of the Government of Punjab, drinking water, proper street lights, as no proper access had been provided as market is surrounded by the residential colony with narrow roads of 20 feet width.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners was confronted with the prayer made in the petition regarding the allotment of an alternate site especially when the claim was with regard to providing of the basic amenities to the plot holders, it was urged that inadvertently, the said prayer has not been incorporated in the prayer clause, instead prayer for allotment of an alternate site has been made therein. Accordingly, learned counsel prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition, with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh writ petition by raising all the issues regarding providing of basic amenities, if any, as depicted in the head note of the writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 06, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No