

107
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.24758 of 2017
Date of decision: November 01, 2017

Dharam Bir	Versus	...Petitioner
Chandigarh Administration and others		...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present: Ms.Anupam Bhanot, Advocate for the petitioner.

S.J.VAZIFDAR, Chief Justice :

The petitioner claims to be entitled to premises under The Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006. He filed an application before the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), UT Chandigarh. It was held that the PLA has no jurisdiction in the matter and the petitioner ought to have filed an appeal under Clause 17 of Scheme 2006. The petitioner apprehends that the Appellate Authority may not condone the delay in filing the appeal.

The petitioner has not even approached the Appellate Authority as yet. He ought to seek condonation of delay by filing an appropriate application before the Appellate Authority. It is premature to presume that the Appellate Authority will dismiss the appeal on the grounds of delay.

With the above observations, the petition stands disposed of.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

November 01, 2017

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)

JUDGE Whether Speaking / Reasoned Yes / No Whether