

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5633-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.02.2017

Ashok Kumar Kaushik

.....Petitioner

versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.P.K.Dwivedi, Advocate for the respondents

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that Zile Singh-respondent No.3 died in the year 2006, therefore, he withdraws the petition against Zile Singh. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn against Zile Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further withdraws his claim regarding benefit of pay on promotion equal to his juniors belonging to scheduled caste category as it is stated that even in the feeder cadre, they were getting more salary.

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses that his pay in the feeder cadre was Rs.540/- and that of Sajjan Singh and Zile Singh was Rs.640/-. While deciding the representation of the petitioner vide order dated 9.1.2014 (Annexure P19), this aspect has not been considered.

The short prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is

that the respondents may be directed to consider this aspect of the matter.

In view of the matter, the petition is disposed of for the time being with directions to the respondents to re-consider the representation of the petitioner dated 2.11.2011 (Annexure P11) regarding the claim of the petitioner for fixing his pay at par with his juniors, since it is claimed that it was done in case of number of other employees vide orders Annexures P21, P24 and P25. Needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

03.02.2017*gk***(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No