

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24702 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 01, 2017

RAM SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Mehar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to release the pensionary benefits along with interest @ 12% per annum to him.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 14.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notice within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated

14.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to decide the same, in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The factum with regard to grant of interest shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as ***“A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab”, 1997 (3) SCT 468.***

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 01, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***