

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4346 of 2015

Date of Decision:10.03.2017

Vikas Dhanda and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, D.A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Having regard to prayer made in the petition, the petition is premature for the reasons that the petitioners are engaged on contract basis. On the presumption that their services would be dispensed, they have presented this petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed being premature. Reserving liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court as and when their service conditions are affected.

10.03.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No