

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4297 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 2.5.2017

M/s Toyo Springs Ltd.

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Chanakya Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for HSIIDC.

Rajesh Bindal J.

The petitioner has challenged the acquisition referring to Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 'the Act'). In fact, the provisions of Section 24(2) of the Act could not be invoked as the award in the present case was passed by the Land Acquisition Collector on 26.8.2009. Five years period had not elapsed.

The petitioner has mentioned khasra numbers in para No. 2 of the petition which, according to the petitioner, have been acquired. In para

No. 1 of the preliminary objections in the written statement filed on behalf

of respondent No. 2, it has been mentioned that khasra numbers, as mentioned in para No. 2 of the petition, have not been acquired.

Considering the aforesaid stand taken by the State in the reply filed, the present petition is dismissed, being misconceived.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

2.5.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No