

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2458 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.03.2017

Kamal Dev and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. L.S. Virk, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate
for the applicants in CMs No.2504 to 2506-CWP of 2017.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition was filed seeking to challenge the impugned order dated 24.01.2017, whereby, appointment order dated 17.01.2017, as offered to the petitioners, had been cancelled, without giving them any adequate opportunity of hearing.

Notice of motion was issued in the said case and pursuant thereto, a reply has been filed. In para 6 of the reply, it is submitted that after receiving notice of the petition, clarification letters dated 23.02.2017 have been issued to the petitioners, as to why their orders have been cancelled. It is further submitted that a revised merit list was prepared and

the petitioners being lower in merit, did not figure in the revised list. In fact, meritorious candidates in the reserved category had not been reflected in the general list and it was on this account that the merit list had to be revised.

In view of the fact that a revised merit list has been prepared, which is in consonance with the mandate, as issued in '*Indira Sawhney vs. UOI*', AIR 1993 SCC 477, no interference is called for by this court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at this juncture, seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition, in order to challenge the revised merit list.

Ordered accordingly.

Since the instant writ petition has been withdrawn, all the pending miscellaneous applications stand dismissed, as having been rendered infructuous.

March 29, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No