

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3406 of 2016

Date of Decision:14.03.2017

Dr. (Mrs.) Vandana Malik

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Nalwa, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

None for respondents No.3 and 4.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4. The petitioner has sought for writ of mandamus to consider the grievance of the petitioner that she is entitled for similar pay scale on par with the similarly situated employees (Lecturer) working in the same college of respondent no.3 and 4 and other similarly situated employees (Lecturers) working in the Government aided affiliated colleges in the State of Haryana as well as the Government colleges in the State of Haryana.

In this regard, the petitioner has submitted her representation way back on 6.10.2010. Respondents No.3 and 4 have not considered her grievance till date. Therefore, respondents are directed to consider the grievance of the petitioner by treating this petition as representation and pass a speaking order within a period of three months from today. Speaking order shall be communicated to the petitioner.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

14.03.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No