

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

**CWP-4226-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2017**

Med Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of the order dated 20.06.2012 and 25.11.2014 respectively (Annexures P4 and P7) passed by 2nd respondent and further sought for a direction to the respondents to extend the benefit of stepping up of pay to him notionally w.e.f. January, 1997 and actual date w.e.f. 25.06.2010 from the date when the petitioner was promoted to the post of Industrial Extension Officer with all consequential benefits.

2. Petitioner's grievance is for stepping up of pay on par of one Sh.Suresh Kumar who was initially appointed as a Clerk on 21.09.1982. Whereas, the petitioner was appointed as a Steno on 15.09.1982. For the purpose of further promotion a combined seniority list was required to be

prepared and published in which the petitioner seems to be senior to Mr. Suresh Kumar, that does not mean that petitioner is entitled for stepping up of pay on par with Suresh Kumar for the reasons that both the petitioner as well as Suresh Kumar entered into service on a different cadre namely Steno and Clerk respectively. For the purpose of stepping up of pay of a senior employee to that of junior employee service particulars should be one and the same i.e. entry into cadre and in particular cadre person must be senior. Whereas, in the present case petitioner and Sh. Suresh Kumar have entered into different cadre. Therefore, the petitioner cannot claim stepping up of pay on par with Suresh Kumar. Thus, the respondents have rightly rejected the claim of the petitioner for stepping up of pay.

3. Hence no interference is called for in respect of the orders dated 20.06.2012 and 25.11.2014 vide Annexures P4 and P7 respectively.

4. CWP stands dismissed.

12.01.2017

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No