

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP No.24464 of 2017

Date of decision: 30.10.2017

Rajender Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Vijay Pal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for consideration in appointment to a Group-C post, i.e., Lower Division Clerk or any other post, as per the policy dated 14.02.2012 (Annexure P-5). Reliance has been placed upon the judgment passed in CWP-6505-2013 titled *Dharmender Singh Vs. State of Haryana & others*, decided on 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-6).

It is the case of the petitioner that representation dated 10.05.2017 (Anneuxre P-7) has been made to the respondent which has not been decided. It is, accordingly, submitted that as per the jamabandi (Annexure P-2), the land of more than 2 acres of the petitioner's family has been acquired for setting up of a Thermal Plant.

Counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a time-bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representation dated 10.05.2017 (Anneuxre P-7).

Keeping in view the fact that the respondents are yet to take a decision on the said representation, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by calling upon the respondents to file reply.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP No.24464 of 2017

-2-

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner, as such, to seek the benefit as claimed, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 10.05.2017 (Anneuxre P-7), within a period of 2 months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. It will also be open to the petitioner to file a more detailed and comprehensive supplementary representation, within 2 weeks from today, which shall also be taken into consideration. In case the benefit is not to be given, the said respondent shall pass a speaking order, giving reasons for the same and convey the same to the petitioner, forthwith.

30.10.2017

Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*