

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24356 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 27, 2017

TEJ PARKASH KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Himanshu Monga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant and release the pensionary benefits (i.e. gratuity, leave encashment and pension) to the petitioner along with interest @ 18% per annum on delayed payment.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 06.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) was moved to the respondents but till date no response has been received. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated

06.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to deal with and dispose of the same, strictly in accordance Rules and Instructions issued by Govt. from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. The further claim of interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits to the petitioner shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as ***“R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.***

5. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 27, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***