

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24346 of 2017

Date of decision : 27.10.2017

Karam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 22.11.2016 (Annexure P-1), whereby his claim for extension of two years in service has been declined without mentioning any reason.

As per the case of the petitioner, his service record remained good and his all the Annual Confidential Reports were good or very good.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the communication, whereby the claim for extension in service has been rejected, no reason has been mentioned. It has nowhere been mentioned that the service record of the petitioner has not been found satisfactory. Petitioner made representation on 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are

issued to the respondents to consider his representation within some stipulated period.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-2) within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

27.10.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No