

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24268 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 27, 2017

RAM AVTAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the retiral benefits including leave encashment and gratuity etc. to the petitioner.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide letter/representation dated 16.11.2016 (Annexure P-4), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in letter/representation dated 16.11.2016 and to decide the same in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In

case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief sought, to release/disburse the same within a period of next one month.

4. The grant of interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits to the petitioner shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “***R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.***”

5. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 27, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***