

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2972 of 2016
Date of Decision: 27.04.2017**

Jasmer Singh & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. L. S. Virk, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate
for respondent No. 5-UPSC.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioners herein, at the time of filing of instant writ petition in 2016, was that petitioner No. 1 had retired from service without being confirmed in Punjab Forest Service while the other two petitioners were still in service. Persons, junior to the petitioners herein, had been confirmed and subsequently their names had been forwarded to Union Public Service Commission for promotion in the cadre of Indian Forest Service.

It is contended that the case of the petitioners was not forwarded on account of the record pertaining to their annual confidential reports having been burnt in the office in Sector 9, Chandigarh.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, learned counsel for the petitioners, points out that during the pendency of the writ petition, the record has been reconstructed and as per the reply filed, the matter has now been referred to

the Punjab Public Service Commission (*for short 'PPSC'*) for according approval to the confirmation of the petitioner Nos. 1 and 3.

Mr. L. S. Virk, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from Mr. Kamaljit Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o PPSC, submits that the record after reconstruction has been sent to PPSC and the matter is pending before the same for necessary sanction to be given.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of very limited prayer made at the very outset, let the PPSC, who is now seized of the matter, pass the necessary orders in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioners herein are confirmed in service, let the necessary consequential relief in the shape of ACP be also released to them as per their entitlement.

Writ petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

April 27, 2017

Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No