

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

116

CWP No.24029 of 2017

Date of decision:14.11.2017

Sumitra and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Pardeep Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In respect of the grievance of the petitioners against the appointment of respondent no.4, whom the petitioners claim has been appointed as an Anganwari Worker on the basis of forged document (s) submitted at the time of her selection, they have made a representation to the 3rd respondent, i.e. the Deputy Commissioner, Jind, on 12.8.2017, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-10 with the petition.

Consequently, without making any comment at all on the merits of the contentions raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-10), dated 12.8.2017, and to decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

14.11.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No