

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

Civil Writ Petition No. 24023 of 2017
DECIDED ON: October 25, 2017

VIRENDER SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the ex-gratia benefits under then; Rules of 2006 i.e. Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 as amended from time to time along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Civil Writ Petition No. 24023of 2017

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated April 06, 2017 (Annexure P-16) was moved to the respondent No.2 but till date, no action has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is given to respondent No. 2, to decide the representation, within a stipulated period.

5. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Sector 6, Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated April 06, 2017 (Annexure P-16) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order that too, after giving a patience hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Respondent No.2 is further directed to disburse the said benefits, in case, he comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to it within a period of next 45 days. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case ***A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468*** as well as judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as ***Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.*** decided on July 11, 2017, be also considered on delayed payment(s).

6. However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

October 25, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**