

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

115

CWP No.23939 of 2017
Date of decision:14.11.2017

Hansraj and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are similarly placed as the petitioners in CWP No.4301 of 2013 and connected petitions, which were allowed by a coordinate Bench on 22.12.2015, with a further direction issued to the official respondents in those petitions, to consider the candidatures of all eligible candidates who fulfill the requisite qualifications/experience as per the amended Rules of 2012, and to pass necessary orders accordingly.

In respect of their grievance, the petitioners are stated to have got issued a legal notice on 20.12.2016, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-8 with the petition.

Consequently, without making any comment on the claim of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent

authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-8), dated 20.12.2016, and to decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If a detailed order has already been passed in respect of the present petitioners pursuant to the directions given by this Court in the aforesaid writ petitions, then only a short order need be passed presently, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any), highlighting the case of the petitioners herein.

14.11.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No