

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.227

Civil Writ Petition No.2764 of 2016
DECIDED ON: August 08, 2017

SURINDER KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Karan Grover, Advocate for
Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Ashu Kaushik, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for release of admissible family pension on account of death of her husband Buta Singh who has been working with respondents or in the alternative to take a conscious decision on legal notice dated September 30, 2015 (Annexure P-5) served by the petitioner.

Civil Writ Petition No.2764 of 2016

2. At the very outset of the arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 that family pension has already been released/disbursed to the petitioner as prayed for by her.

3. In view of statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3, instant petition has rendered infructuous. As such, it stands disposed of accordingly.

4. However, while parting this order, it is left open to the petitioner that in case, she still feels aggrieved of the benefit released by respondents No.2 & 3 to her or on account of delayed payment, she shall be at liberty to approach the Department as well as this Court.

August 08, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No