

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**Civil Writ Petition No.23839 of 2017
Date of Decision: October 13, 2017**

Shiv Shakti Construction Co.

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL***

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Manhar Singh Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Sanish Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari setting aside the speaking order dated 11.09.2017 (**Annexure P-7**) passed by respondent No.3 vide which respondent No.4 has been illegally and belatedly allowed to submit its bid and considered for allotment in respect of tender for construction of road drain No.2 from Sanoli, Panipat Road to Panipat Barsat Road. Further prayer has also been made to direct respondent No.2 to allot the aforesaid tender to the petitioner, in view of the facts and circumstances and upholding its fundamental rights under Articles 14 and 19(1) (g) of the Constitution of India.

2. It was not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the financial bid of the petitioner as well as of respondent No.4 has not been opened so far. Accordingly, it was prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition, being premature at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again, if need so arises.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to it, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

October 13, 2017
sonia gugnani

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No