

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27359 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 9.1.2017

Ramphal Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner claims that he retired from service as a Deputy Superintendent on 30.6.2010. Later on, the instructions dated 18.12.2013 (Annexure-P-3) were issued. One Yudhister Lal Madaan has been granted pay scale at par with his junior Sham Lal, belonging to reserved category, vide order dated 26.3.2014 (Annexure-P-4). The petitioner is also entitled to same relief. The short prayer made at this stage is that the petitioner has moved a representation dated 9.1.2016 (Annexure-P-6) to respondents and respondents may be directed to decide the same within given time frame, in accordance with law.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to decide the representation dated 9.1.2016 (Annexure-P-6), within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.1.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No