

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.27355 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 16, 2017**

Rameshwar Dass and another **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The prayer of the petitioners is for release of retiral benefits. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has made representation dated 16.08.2016 (Annexure P-1).

The short prayer of the petitioners at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioners dated 16.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners dated 16.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No