

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.23770 of 2017

Date of decision: November 30, 2017

M/s Beant Rice Mills

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate for
Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Grievance of the petitioner is that petitioner firm is not being considered for allotment of paddy on the ground that husband of the petitioner is a defaulter and certain recoveries have to be effected from him. According to him, respondents have not passed any speaking order to apprise the petitioner of the specific grounds, on which petitioner firm is not being considered for allocation of paddy.

At the outset, Mr. Gupta, learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner within ten days from today, a speaking order thereon shall be passed by respondent No.2 within two weeks thereafter.

In view of above, no further action is necessary.

Disposed of.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

November 30, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No