

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2372-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.02.2017

Asha Rani

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Sumit Dul, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner claimed that her husband Hans Raj died in harness on 15.8.1993. It also comes out that on account of death of her husband, she was given compassionate appointment vide order dated 31.1.1994 (Annexure P4). The gratuity was released on 12.5.1994 vide order Annexure P3. Now, in the year 2016, petitioner has come up before this Court, claiming that she had issued a legal notice on 22.7.2016 (Annexure P5) i.e. after about 22 years of the payment of the gratuity, in which, claim was denied on the ground that the deceased husband of the petitioner does not fulfill the conditions as work charge employee.

Petitioner has claimed the family pension. However, she was given compassionate appointment in the year 1994 vide order dated

31.1.1994. Thereafter, she remained silent and did not claim anything for last 22-23 years. Whatever was due on account of death was paid in the year 1994. Therefore, after a lapse of 22-23 years, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ petition regarding claim of the petitioner.

Resultantly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

09.02.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No