

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(1)

CWP No.3334 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.03.2017

Roop Singh

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(2)

CWP No.7376 of 2015 (O&M)

Meena

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(3)

CWP No.7394 of 2015 (O&M)

Baljinder Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

By this order, I shall dispose of all the above captioned three writ petitions as the same question of law and facts are involved in these writ petitions and for brevity facts are being taken from CWP No.3334 of 2015.

The instant writ petition has been filed for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the respondents to promote the petitioner as Lecturer in Political Science from the date his juniors were promoted w.e.f. 16.05.2012.

A short reply has been filed by way of affidavit of Mr. Sunil Kumar Bansal, Assistant Director o/o Director Public Instructions (SE), Punjab, in CWP No.7376 of 2015, which is taken on record. In the said affidavit, it is submitted that promotions, that were accorded on 18.05.2012, have been reviewed on the basis of seniority list that was prepared on 19.07.2013. As per the review, the last Social Studies Mistress having seniority No.2377 has been found eligible for promotion, therefore, both the petitioner(s) and the private respondent do not fall within the zone of promotion. It is further submitted in the said affidavit that those persons, who were promoted in the year 2012 and do not find mention in the fresh seniority list that was prepared on 19.07.2013, were issued orders of

reversion, preferred a Civil Writ Petition No.185 of 2014 titled as '***Sweety Badwal vs. State of Punjab***'. In the said writ petition, an undertaking has been given that a fresh seniority list will be prepared and all promotions to the posts of Lecturers would be reviewed accordingly.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that the fresh seniority list is under process and the case of the petitioner(s) would be considered for promotion, as per the fresh seniority list that will be prepared.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the categorical statement that has been made that a fresh seniority list is being prepared and that the case of the petitioner(s) herein will be considered accordingly, no orders are called for in these writ petitions, which are disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner(s) to raise a challenge, in case any grievance is not met, after a fresh seniority list is in circulation.

The writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

March 14, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No