

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 23626 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 31, 2017

MANJIT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the balance amount of gratuity amounting to ₹76,948/-, leave encashment to the tune of ₹49,840, arrears of revised pay amounting to ₹1,75,500/-, arrears of 10% of dearness allowance for the period July 2013 to September 2013 amounting to ₹11,500/- as well as arrears of DA for the period from 2004 to 2005 to the tune of ₹10,000/- along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representation dated 09.10.2016 (Annexure P-11) was moved to the respondents but despite the fact that a period of more than one year has elapsed, no conscious decision has been taken. He submits that

petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the aforesaid representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Managing Director, Punjab State Cooperative Milk Producers Federation Ltd. (MILKFED), Chandigarh to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 09.10.2016 (Annexure P-11) and to decide the same as per Rules and Regulations, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. The matter with regard to grant of interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits to the petitioner shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “***R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.***”

5. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 31, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***