

CWP-23571-2017

Date of decision : 12.10.2017

Manoj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr.G.S. Gopera, Advocate
for the petitioner.**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite various posts of Constables not having been filled up due to non-joining of selected candidates, the said posts are not being filled up from the waiting lists; in support of which contention he points to Annexures P-3 to P-7, which are seen to be replies to applications made by him under the RTI Act 2005.

Consequently, at this stage without going into the merits of the contention, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice got issued on behalf of the petitioner, a copy of which is shown to be Annexure P-8 with the petition, by passing a speaking order within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is made clear that if there is seen to be any delay in disposing of the representation of the petitioner only in order to ensure that the validity period of the waiting list expires, benefit thereof shall be available to the petitioner, with the person responsible for such delay liable for action against. However, it is also made clear, even by way of repetition, that no comment is otherwise being made by this Court on the merits of the contention raised by the petitioner, which will be gone into wholly by the competent authority.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**October 12, 2017.***Davinder Kumar*