108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27135 of 2016
Date of Decision: 16.01.2017

SURINDER JYOTI ***… Petitioner***

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ***...Respondents***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

****

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to count the service rendered in erstwhile Shivalik College, Naya Nangal from the date of her appointment till the date of taking over of the said college by the State i.e. 12.05.1997, for the purpose of qualifying service towards pensionary benefits alongwith interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner joined as Lecturer in Sociology on 13.09.1983 in Shivalik College, Naya Nangal which was being run by Notified Area Committee, Naya Nangal. Later on, the said College was taken over by the Government on 12.05.1997. The petitioner retired from service on 30.09.2007. The petitioner claims that some of the co-employees moved this Court and their previous service rendered in said college was ordered to be computed for grant of pensionary benefits. The litigation of the said case went up to the Hon’ble the Apex Court.

The short prayer of the petitioner is that she should be granted the same relief as granted to her co-employees.

SURESH KUMAR
2017.01.21 12:13
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No. 27135 of 2016

The short prayer at this stage has been made to issue a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the representation dated 11.11.2016 (Annexure P-9) already made by the petitioner within a specific time frame.

In the circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the representation dated 11.11.2016 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner and after considering the law point and the fact that whether the said benefit has already been granted to the similar situated employees, pass a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 13, 2017 **(KULDIP SINGH)**
Suresh Kumar **JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : ✔ ***Yes / No***

Whether Reportable : ***Yes /*** ✔ ***No***

SURESH KUMAR
2017.01.21 12:13
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document