

CWP-4456-2014

Date of Decision : 27.02.2017

National Insurance Company Ltd.

.....Petitioner

versus

Rajan Chawla

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Nitin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate
for respondent.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

The short question raised in the present petition is the scope of review of its award by the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services).

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the respondent, it transpires that in the present case, the Permanent Lok Adalat has not exercised jurisdiction to review its order. Second award passed is in the clarificatory nature. As such, the point raised, does not deserve adjudication, especially, in view of the fact that the amount of Rs.36,500/- along with cost stand already paid to the respondent. In the present case, the first award was Rs.36,500/- along with cost of Rs.5,000/-.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he is not claiming any additional amount but is only claiming the difference which was awarded in the review petition. The remaining amount having already been paid to the respondent, there is no circumstance

warranting for adjudication of the point raised by the petitioner. The respondent, on the basis of the second order passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat, will be entitled to the balance amount of Rs.18,500/- subject to return of the salvage.

Disposed of with above observations.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

27.02.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No