

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.27080 of 2016.

Date of Decision: January 24, 2017

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.**

**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Naveen Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

**Surya Kant, J.** (Oral)

The petitioner has averred that his land fully described in para No.2 of the writ petition was acquired by the State of Haryana for HUDA and as such he falls in the category of 'oustees'. The petitioner applied for allotment of a plot under the Ousteas policy but no decision has been taken on his claim. He represented thereafter on 30.10.2016 and 02.12.2016 but finding no response, has filed the instant writ petition.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought in writ petition but without expressing any views on the petitioner's entitlement, the same is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to ascertain the claim of the petitioner and take an appropriate decision regarding allotment of a plot to him under the Ousteas Policy. Let a speaking order be passed within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

**[SURYA KANT]  
JUDGE**

January 24, 2017

*mohinder*

**[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**

:

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable**

:

**Yes/No**