

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M-85-2010 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.02.2017

Geeta

.....Appellant

Versus

Suraj Mohan

.....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Appellant in person with
Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate.

Respondent in person with
Mr. Parmod Chauhan, Advocate.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (ORAL)

The present appeal had been filed by the wife for challenging the decree of divorce granted in favour of the husband under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

According to learned counsel for the parties, the matrimonial dispute between the parties stands amicably resolved. A detailed

document was drawn up by the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi as per which the the husband is to pay an amount of ₹6,50,000/- in all to the appellant-wife as full and final settlement of all her claims. Out of the said amount the ₹2,00,000/- is to be paid by the husband to the appellant-wife at the time of withdrawal of the appeal as well as contempt petition, another sum of ₹2,00,000/- at the time of withdrawal of petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and the remaining amount of ₹2,50,000/- at the time of withdrawal of the petition under Section 12 of the Domestic Violence Act.

Pursuant to the settlement arrived at between the parties, the respondent-husband has handed over demand draft No.358649 dated 06.12.2016 in the name of the appellant-wife for a sum of ₹2,00,000/-to her after retaining its photocopy on the file.

The appellant-wife has filed CM-1068-CII-2017 seeking permission to withdraw the appeal in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

In terms of the compromise, amount of ₹2,00,000/- stands paid by the respondent-husband to the appellant-wife. Under these circumstances, it would be in the fitness of things if the miscellaneous application filed by the appellant-wife is allowed and the appeal withdrawn.

Resultantly the appellant-wife is granted permission to withdraw the appeal, in view of the compromise. The miscellaneous

application is, accordingly, allowed. The appeal is disposed of as having withdrawn.

(T.P.S.MANN)
JUDGE

22.02.2017
Gaurav Sorot

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|----|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | No |
| 2. | Whether reasoned / speaking? | No |