

112 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23463 of 2017
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 26, 2017**

SADHU SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER REVENUE PUNJAB, & OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Jagdeep Bains, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to decide the legal notice dated November 21, 2016 (P-6) served by the petitioner for granting him notional promotion to the post of Senior Assistant w.e.f. 2012 i.e. the date on which his juniors were promoted and to grant him all the consequential benefits towards salary and retirement benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice (P-6) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice (P-6) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 26, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*