

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26826 of 2016

Date of Decision: 27.02.2017

Piara Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

UCO bank and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The order of removal has not been placed on record despite counsel being called upon to do so by the previous order of the Coordinate Bench. In absence of an adverse order, a writ is not maintainable.

A general statement has been made that the removal was somewhere in 2009. If this is so then the petition suffers from delay and laches and deserves to be dismissed on that ground as well.

The contention that wrong forum was approached i.e. the National Commission for Scheduled Castes is also not a ground to cover delay as the Commission exercises no judicial or quasi judicial authority over serious disputes and had only marked the complaint in 2011 to the official respondents. That will also not give a cause of action or postpone it to a future date.

No effort whatsoever was made by the petitioner for supply of the copy of removal order by resort to the provisions of the Right to

Information Act, 2005.

For all these shortcomings, the petition is dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

27.02.2017
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*