

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23174 of 2017
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 10, 2017

KALA RAM

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Bakshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents to pay the amount of medical reimbursement owing to the money spent on the treatment of the wife of the petitioner and also to pay the interest for the period of delay caused in making payment. And further directing respondents No.1 to 5 to stop paying medical allowance of ₹500/- per month w.e.f. 16.03.2015 and the amount already paid to the petitioner during this period be deducted/adjusted from the amount of medical reimbursement claim.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the bill was submitted before the concerned authority but till date no final decision has

been taken. Even, a legal notice dated 07.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) was also duly served upon the respondents but till date neither it did fetch any reply nor the payment has been made. Even, a subsequent reminder filed by the petitioner could not serve the purpose and the respondents are still seized of the matter.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 07.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same, by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by respondent(s), he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 10, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***