

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27564 of 2015
Date of decision : 27.03.2017

Kashmir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Amandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. A.K. Sama, Advocate for respondent No.7.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 2, 5 and 6 has been filed today in the Court. Same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

Grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is as under:-

“Civil Writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to hold the fair and impartial inquiry into the allegations contained in the complaints submitted by the petitioner (Annexure P-1 (Colly) and (Annexure P-3 Colly) and thereupon take strict action in accordance with law under the provisions of

Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 and the provisions of Indian Penal Code.

Further direct the respondents to take a decision regarding the action against the Sarpanch respondent No.7 under Section 20 of Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 during the pendency of the inquiry proceedings.”

In view of para No.2 of the reply, enquiry has already been held and the respondent No.7 has been exonerated.

No further cause of action survives in the present writ petition as the grievance of the petitioner raised in the present petition stands vindicated.

Writ petition stands rendered disposed of as infructuous.

27.03.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No