

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-27535-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-07.11.2017.

Jai Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Minor Irrigation and Tubewell Corporation, Sinchai Bhawan,
Sector 5, Panchkula through its Managing Director

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the respondent.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the notice/order dated 11.06.2015 (Annexure P-6) relating to deposit of excess payment made to him towards leave encashment. Undisputedly, petitioner has submitted his explanation to the notice. Without waiting for the final order, he has rushed to this Court in challenging the show cause notice dated 11.06.2015 (Annexure P-6). Therefore, writ petition is premature.

Hence, petition stands disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondent to pass final order and so also while considering each of the contentions raised by the petitioner in his explanation and pass a speaking order within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

November 07, 2017.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.