

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.4818 of 2013

Date of Decision: March 16, 2017

Jasbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, The Mall Patiala & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.R.S.Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Meena Bansal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr.R.S.Sidhu, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the necessary formalities for release of the electricity connection to the petitioner even if he is not the owner, at the best can be tenant, in pursuance to the order dated 20.1.2014 passed by the Rent Controller, Tarn Taran, whereby rent petition filed by Gurdeep Singh branding himself as landlord against petitioner being tenant was withdrawn after receipt of the rent shall be done.

Ms.Meena Bansal, learned counsel for the respondents submits that for a particular capacity of connection, certain requirements including indemnity bond as per Rule 7.3 of the Rules, are required to be fulfilled by a tenant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

will complete all the requirements, but the respondents cannot insist him to give proof of ownership as being not owner. In case of completion of all the necessary formalities, let this exercise be undertaken by the respondents within a period of two months.

With the aforementioned observations, writ petition stands disposed of.

March 16, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No