

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26525-2016

Date of Decision: November 13, 2017

Neha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Ms.Sonal Dutta, Advocate for
Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.Anu Pal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.T.S.Chauhan & Mr.Satnam Chauhan, Advocates
for respondent No.2.
Mr.S.S.Walia, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioner has got admission in BAMS Course in some other College and as such she does not want to press her claim for admission in respondent No.3-College. Her counsel submits and rightly so that the petitioner is entitled to refund of the fee deposited by her in accordance with the Rules/provisions in the prospectus.

[2] The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to determine the amount to which the petitioner is entitled to be refunded. The needful be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

November 13, 2017
meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |