

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.22948 of 2017

Date of Decision: 15.11.2017

Harmail Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Gitish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that FIR No.02 dated 19.01.2015 was registered against him and some other persons under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and under Section 13(1)(2) of the P.C Act at Police Station Vigilance Bureau at Bathinda.

During investigation of the case, the petitioner was found innocent and the challan was presented against one Satinderpal Singh. Petitioner moved a representation to the respondent authorities to reinstate him in service but because of departmental enquiry, he is not being considered and no action has been taken on the representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for reinstatement but no action has been taken and enquiry is not being concluded as even the charge sheet has not been served upon the respondents so far.

After hearing the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner, it appears that undisputedly the parallel proceedings can be

initiated by way of departmental enquiry but the same are pending since 2015 and there is no progress in the departmental enquiry.

However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has also made a representation but no action has been taken, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to make all efforts to conclude the departmental proceedings initiated against the petitioner, preferably within a period of three months.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

15.11.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No