

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

Civil Writ Petition No.22914 of 2017
DECIDED ON: October 07, 2017

RAJIV KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**HARYANA STATE FEDERATION OF CONSUMERS CO-
OPERATIVE WHOLESALE STORES LTD. AND ANOTHER**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to immediately release the leave encashment, gratuity, provident fund, 1st, 2nd and 3rd ACP with arrears thereof, financial benefits under General Insurance Scheme and arrears of 6th Pay Commission along with interest @ 18% as even though the deceased father of the petitioner expired on September 17, 2014 yet even after lapse of more than three years, the basic terminal dues have not yet been released so far.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation was made to the respondents on May 08, 2017 (P-8) but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

Civil Writ Petition No.22914 of 2017

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide his representation dated May 08, 2017 (P-8) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated May 08, 2017 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 45 days. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case ***A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468*** as well as judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as ***Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.*** decided on July 11, 2017, be also considered on delayed payment(s).

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

October 07, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**