

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

Civil Writ Petition No.22912 of 2017
DECIDED ON: October 07, 2017

ISHWAR SINGH CHAHAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant benefit of ACP (Assured Career Progression) Scale, as petitioner is entitled for 1st and 2nd ACP, as petitioner was promoted on 08.02.1985 on the post of Manager and retired on 29.02.2008 after serving for 23 years and no financial up-gradation or promotion was given to the petitioner and petitioner is entitled/eligible for 1st and 2nd ACP benefits, whereas despite number of representations to the respondents, no action has been taken with regard to grant of ACP scale/benefit and further issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the representations made by the petitioner for grant of ACP benefits as well as to grant the benefit of 1st and 2nd ACP from the date of entitlement along with interest @ 18% per annum.

Civil Writ Petition No.22912 of 2017

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though various representations including representation dated August 17, 2017 (P-8) was made to the respondent No.2 but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide his representation dated August 17, 2017 (P-8) within some specified period.

4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated August 17, 2017 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

October 07, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**