

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.229 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 11, 2017**

Arun Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner retired as Inspector from Municipal Council, Batala. It is stated that some of the retiral benefits including amount of gratuity, leave encashment and arrears of salary have not been paid to him.

The short prayer of the petitioner is for directing the the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-5).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If it is found that the amount is due to the petitioner, the same shall be released to him forthwith otherwise a speaking order will be passed while denying the same.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No