

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22877 of 2017

Date of decision:25.10.2017

Satya Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Ms. Payel Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

This petition is filed for seeking a direction to respondent no.2 to decide the application filed by the petitioner, a senior citizen, under the Maintenance and Welfare of Senior Citizens and Parents Act, 2007, seeking eviction of her son from the property owned by her and protection.

It is submitted that the application was filed way-back on 20.04.2017 and, thereafter, the petitioner also made a representation to respondent no.2 as her application was not making any headway but since her request was not considered by respondent no.2, therefore, she has filed the present petition for seeking a direction.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and examining the available record, I am of the considered opinion that there is no need to issue notice to the respondents as grievance of the petitioner would be redressed if a direction is issued to respondent no.2 to decide her application as early as possible.

Thus, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2, who is allegedly seized of the application filed by the petitioner, to decide the same as early as possible, preferably within a period of three months from today, by giving short adjournments.

October 25, 2017
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No