

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22853 of 2017

Date of decision : 06.10.2017

Parveen Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, submits that similarly situated persons had filed CWP No.26249 of 2016 and said petition was disposed of with a direction to the respondent-authority to decide the claim of the petitioners in the said petition as set out in the legal notice submitted by them and to take action in accordance with law within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Learned counsel submit that the petitioners have also served a legal notice upon respondents which is annexed as Annexure P-9 with the petition and they would be satisfied in case same directions are issued by this Court to decide the pending representation.

Without issuing notice to the respondents and by considering the directions issued in CWP No.26249 of 2016 the present petition is also disposed of with the direction to the competent authority (respondent No.1) to decide the claim of the petitioners as per representation dated 14.07.2017

(Anneuxre P-9) and take necessary action in accordance with law within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case the petitioners are found to be entitled to the relief sought in the representation, the same be given to them within a period of two months thereafter.

In case any adverse action is to be taken, the respondents are directed to pass a detailed speaking order.

06.10.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No