

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

146

**CWP No. 22827 of 2017
Date of decision: 06.10.2017**

Rakesh Kumar Pandey and Others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

On query, Mr. Nehra, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that in respect of the grievance of having been granted less salaries than stipulated in the letter Annexure P-11, dated 28.11.2016, the petitioners have made a representation to the Director, Rural Development and Panchayats Department, Haryana, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-21, which has still not been decided.

Consequently, without making any comment on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2/the competent authority amongst the respondents, to take a decision on the aforesaid representation by passing a speaking order dealing with all the contentions raised therein, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioners wish to supplement their representation they may do so within one week from today.

In the meanwhile, the services of the petitioners shall not be replaced by any other set of employees.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 06, 2017
Poonam (II)

*Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*