

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26397 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.2.2017

Dharampal Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner retired from the post of Hindi teacher on 31.10.2008. The claim of the petitioner is that some of his juniors were promoted in the year 2001. Therefore, he should also be promoted from the date his juniors were promoted in the year 2001. The present writ petition was filed on 17.12.2016 i.e. after 8 years of his retirement.

I am of the view that the present writ petition is badly barred by delay and laches. When the petitioner was ignored in the year 2001 for promotion, he should have sought the legal remedy at that time. He did not do so till the retirement in the year 2008 and even after his retirement, he kept mum for more than 8 years. Therefore, on the ground of delay and laches, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.2.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No