

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26371-2016 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION:02.03.2017

M/S EKAM WINE, BATHINDA

... Petitioner

V.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimran Singh Sethi, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

This writ petition is similar to the case of M/s Somrass Traders, etc. Vs. State of Punjab, etc., CWP No.102 of 2017, which we disposed of by a separate order and judgment dated 27.02.2017. This writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.

2. No interim or temporary reliefs are sought by the petitioner. It is clarified that even the question as to whether the petitioner did not operate the vendis for any period of time in view of the order and judgment dated 03.11.2016 in CWP No.6558 of 2016, can be taken in the alternate proceedings, if any.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

02.03.2017

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes /No
Yes /No